

The age of extinction

'Ridiculous' ban on exotic animal skins at London fashion week criticised by experts

Trade can help protect species - and real skins are often more sustainable than synthetic alternatives, say conservationists

The age of extinction is supported by

the guardian .org

About this content

Patrick Greenfield Wed 18 Dec 2024 03.00 EST

Conservation experts have criticised a decision by London fashion week to ban exotic animal skins from its 2025 shows as "ridiculous", warning that it is ill-informed and could harm the protection of many snakes,

crocodiles and reptile species. Last month, the British Fashion Council's deputy director for policy and engagement, David Leigh-Pemberton, told parliament that next year's fashion shows would prohibit the use of skins from alligators, snakes and other animals. In a statement, the council said the ban was part of a wider range of standards to promote sustainable practices in the fashion

industry. But scientific experts from the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), one of the world's leading conservation bodies, have condemned the decision, saying exotic skins are often far more sustainable choices than leather and synthetic materials. They say a ban would undermine economic incentives for communities to conserve species - warning that claims

the decision was made for sustainability reasons were "wrong". Luxury bags made from exotic skins can sell for tens of thousands of pounds, with some of the money going to the conservation of the

Erbaue dein Imperium Xcraft - Militärische Konfrontation, Konstruktion

Advertisement

und Wissenschaft Xcraft

species from which they are made. Daniel Natusch, chair of the IUCN snake specialist group, questioned the basis of the decision. He pointed to examples of community groups in Papua New Guinea and along the Zambezi River that had developed sustainable harvesting systems for exotic skins that benefited

Öffnen >



▲ Shoes at the Dries van Noten menswear show at Paris fashion week in 2020. Photograph: Peter

White/Getty Images "If you don't like using animals to produce a skin or whatever it may be, that's fine. But don't tell the world that it's because you care about sustainability. All of the life cycle analysis has been done. There is not a single raw material that we know of, apart from pineapple leather, that is more sustainable than exotic skin, particularly python. It's ridiculous. If designers were serious and informed themselves, we'd all be wearing snakeskin underpants," he said.

In making the decision, London become the first of the "big four" fashion weeks - Paris, Milan, New York and London - to ban exotic skins. It attracted praise from animal rights campaigners who said their use was unnecessary and unethical. Fur has previously been banned from the event.

Dr Dilys Roe, chair of IUCN's sustainable use and livelihoods specialist group, said London fashion week organisers were misguided.

"There is an assumption that it's unethical because it's wild. If they are concerned about animal welfare, what's the difference with a domestic animal? The snakes

"From an overall sustainability perspective, it's a false assumption that fake fur, fake skin is somehow better. If you look at what goes into them, you've still

chemicals associated with that. I think there is a kneejerk reaction. "If you buy a Hermès crocodile skin handbag, you're not going to buy it and chuck it in a landfill. The opposite to all this is fast

got the carbon emissions and

The British Fashion Council did not respond to a request for comment.

fashion," she said.



Erbaue dein Imperium

Xcraft - Militärische Konfrontation, Konstruktion und Wissenschaft Xcraft

Öffnen >

are not endangered. For some species, such as crocodiles, the fact that people can get money for collecting eggs ... creates an incentive to protect them," she said. Advertisement

Erbaue dein Imperium Xcraft - Militärische

Konfrontation, Konstruktion und Wissenschaft

Xcraft

Öffnen >

This is what we're up against

A media ecosystem dominated by a handful of billionaire owners. Teams of lawyers from the rich and powerful trying to stop us publishing stories they don't want you to see. Lobby groups with opaque funding who are determined to undermine

facts about the climate emergency and other established science. Authoritarian states with no regard for the freedom of the press. Bad actors spreading disinformation online to undermine democracy.

*** But we have something powerful on our side.

We've got you. The Guardian is funded by readers, like you in Switzerland, and the only person who decides what we publish is our editor.

If you want to join us in our mission to share independent, global journalism to the world, we'd love to have you on side. Please choose to support us today. It only takes a minute and you can cancel at any time. Thank you.



Sustainable fashion / Fashion weeks / Fashion industry / Ethical and green living Ethical business / Wildlife / news

View on theguardian.com

The Age Of Extinction





They lived through the ice age. Can the mighty musk ox survive the heat? **Environment**

in Somerset

report finds From farm to forest: the volunteers planting 100,000 trees

Climate crisis 'wreaking havoc' on Earth's water cycle,



Most Viewed The Brutalist, Emilia Pérez and Shōgun triumph at the







